

### **Twenty-Years of Radiation Measurements in Low Earth Orbit: What Have We Learned?**

M.J. Golightly NASA Johnson Space Center

M.D. Weyland, A.S. Johnson, and E.Semones NASA Johnson Space Center/Lockheed-Martin



- Efforts under way to improve and/or develop new trapped radiation models
  - < NASA's "Living With a Star" (LWS) program
  - < USAF Phillips Lab
  - < ESA's TREND program
- On-going trapped radiation modeling activity include empirical, semi-empirical, and physics-based approaches
- Space missions being planned to answer important trapped radiation belt science questions
  - < NASA's LWS "Geospace Mission" effort
- Until new data sets available, modelers and theoreticians continuously looking for existing data
- What can be learned from radiation measurements during the past 20 years of Shuttle, Mir, and ISS missions to improve the understanding or models of the trapped radiation environment



# **Trapped Radiation Belt Monitoring During Manned Space Flight--Synopsis**

- Since the advent of manned space flight 40 years ago, scientists and health physicists have monitored the local low-Earth orbit (LEO) space radiation environment inside and outside the spacecraft in order to understand and quantify the exposure received by human crews
- First 25 years, monitoring typically performed with simple omni-directional, integrating passive radiation absorbed dose detectors similar to those used for radiation protection monitoring of radiation protection workers.
- Past 15 years, more advanced active instruments have been introduced which provide time-resolved measurements, some information about the physical properties of the radiation, and in some cases improved directionality information.
- Measurement periods in a particular LEO region range from relative "snapshots" of just a few days to 1.5 solar cycles.
- These measurements comprise an important database of the LEO space radiation environment
  - < Covering nearly 9,000 days in orbit
  - < More than three solar cycles
  - < 200-600 km
  - < Magnetic latitudes up to approximately 75°.



# **Trapped Radiation Belt Monitoring During Manned Space Flight—What Can We Learn?**

- While this is an abundant set of data, much of it cannot be used directly to study or model the geomagnetically trapped radiation belts in the atmospheric cutoff region
  - < Measurements frequently do not include enough physical information (e.g., energy, particle type, arrival direction) or appropriate correlative measurements (e.g., local magnetic field strength and orientation, atmospheric density, plasma waves etc.)
  - Location and orientation of the detectors/instrument, as well as the orbital parameters, launch date and mission duration, are driven by considerations other than monitoring the space radiation environment
- What can we learn about the physics of the trapped radiation belts in the atmospheric cutoff region from these measurements?
  - < Temporal changes in the location of the geomagnetic trapping region (i.e., SAA)
  - < Formation and decay of additional pseudo-stable trapping regions
  - < Local anisotropy in direction of trapped proton flux
  - < Control of trapped proton flux by the Earth's tenuous atmosphere



### **Temporal Changes in the Location of the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA)**

space radiation analysis group



Badhwar





## **Temporal Changes in the Location of the South Atlantic Anomaly**

space radiation analysis group

#### **Drift Rate of the South Atlantic Anomaly**



Westward Drift Rate (°W/y)



## **Temporal Decay of Pseudo-stable Additional Radiation Belts**





## **Temporal Decay of Pseudo-stable Additional Radiation Belts**

space radiation analysis group

**Count Rate Above Background** 



#### UoSAT-3 CREDO Monthly Average Count Rate Counts Above Background (98.7° / 800 km)

Date





#### **Trapped Proton East/West Ratio** STS-60 SAA Pass Data--Descending Node



#### **Summary of Trapped Proton East-West Ratio Data**

East/West Ratio	Mission/ Spacecraft	Epoch/Date	Average Altitude (km)	Inclination (°)	Instrument & Location	Omni/ Directional	Directional Absorber/ Shield	Parameter Measured	Energy Range	Reference	
1.85 ± 0.09	STS-60	07-Feb-94	352	57.0	TEPCShuttle DLOC 2	omni	airlock shadowing of DLOC 2 location	SAA absorbed dose rate corrected for GCR		Golightly, Badhwar <i>et. al.</i> 1997	
1.9 	STS-65	16-Jul-94	296	28.5	TLDShuttle DLOC 5/6 TLDShuttle DLOC 2/3	omni	opposite side of symmetrically shielded vehicle	absorbed dose, GCR corrected	>30 MeV, 56 MeVeff >32 MeV, 58 MeVeff	Badhwar <i>et. al</i> . 1998	
~1.6	STS-63	07-Feb-95	394	51.6	TEPCShuttle DLOC 2		airlock shadowing of DLOC 2 location	absorbed dose		Badhwar <i>et. al.</i> 1997	
~1.86	STS-84	19-May-97	341	51.6	RRMD SpaceHab Ceiling	directional	N/A	particle flux rate vs magnetic azimuth	8.4-~27 MeV	Golightly, Sakaguchi <i>et. al.</i> 1999	
2.7	STS-94	09-Jul-97	341	28.5	TEPCShuttle DLOC 2	omni	airlock shadowing of DLOC 2 location	absorbed dose rate		Badhwar 2000	
2.18	199	08 Mar-13 Jun 2001	394	51.6	R-16 ИР2S detector,	omni	Mir intrinsic shielding	accumulated		Goliabtly	
1.68	100	23 May-06 Jul 2001	395	51.0	Service Module panel 327	Uniti	(XPOP:LVLH attitude)	GCR corrected		Congritiy	
0.09-16.66	Mir	late 1994- 1996	400	51.6	REMexternal surface of Mir	2π	Mir core module	particle flux/32 s	>30 MeV	Buhler et. al. 1996	



## **Trapped Proton East-West Ratio Variation with Altitude**

space radiation analysis group

#### **SAA Trapped Proton East-West Effect**





- Mar 1991 Event—Characterization from UoSAT-3 Data
  - < 98.7° inclination / 800 km altitude
  - < CREDO background count rate—count rate corrected for nominal contributions from SAA and GCR
  - < Fit to background count rate 2.2 < L < 2.4 data
    - $J(2.2 \le L \le 2.4) = 1761e^{-t/5.1}$ (*DF adj* r<sup>2</sup> = 0.8027) t = months since belt formation J = counts/day
  - < Flux rate *e*-folding time = 5.1 months
  - < Flux rate enhancement (t = 0) relative to background =  $x \ 10.5$
  - < Flux rate enhancement (t = 0) relative to nominal SAA flux = 21.4%



## <u>Trapped Proton Flux in Low-Earth Orbit</u> <u>A Function of Atmospheric Density</u>



Golightly, et. al. (1994)



# **Trapped Proton Flux in Low-Earth Orbit A Function of Atmospheric Density**

space radiation analysis group

- Trapped proton exposure inside the Space Shuttle derived from TLD measurements over 1.5 solar cycles
  - < TLD absorbed dose at fixed monitoring locations corrected for GCR background
  - < Atmospheric density computed for flux-weighted average altitude through SAA
- Trapped proton exposure well modeled as a power-law function of atmospheric density: Daily Dose Rate  $(\mu Gy \bullet d^{-1}) = e^a * \rho^b$

Table 1: Fit parameters and degree-of-freedom adjusted r<sup>2</sup> for trapped proton dose rate at four locationsinside the Space Shuttle for 28.5° inclination missions. Thermospheric temperature capped at 938°K.

	PRD 1		PRDs 2 & 3 AVERAGED			PRD 4			PRDs 5 & 6 AVERAGED		
DF ADJ r <sup>2</sup>	а	b	DF ADJ r <sup>2</sup>	a	b	DF ADJ r	а	b	DF ADJ r <sup>2</sup>	а	b
0.8890	-14.26	-0.7220	0.9359	-16.76	-0.8328	0.9125	-15.25	-0.7668	0.9250	-15.85	-0.7970
MOST HE	EAVILY SHI	ELDED	LEAST SHIELDED AVERAGE ATTITUDE EFFECT			MEDIUM SHIELDING			LEAST SHIELDED AVERAGE ATTITUDE EFFECT		

Table 2: Fit parameters and degree-of-freedom adjusted r<sup>2</sup> for trapped proton dose rate at four locationsinside the Space Shuttle for 57° inclination missions. Thermospheric temperature capped at 975°K.

	PRD 1		PRDs 2 & 3 AVERAGED			PRD 4			PRDs 5 & 6 AVERAGED		
DF ADJ r <sup>2</sup>	a	b	DF ADJ r <sup>2</sup>	а	b	DF ADJ r <sup>2</sup>	a	b	DF ADJ r²	a	b
0.6915	-16.26	-0.7964	0.9192	-15.48	-0.7964	0.8496	-15.30	-0.7722	0.9185	-16.16	-0.8141
MOST HE	AVILY SHIE	ELDED	LEAST SHIELDED AVERAGE ATTITUDE EFFECT			MEDIUM SHIELDING			LEAST SHIELDED AVERAGE ATTITUDE EFFECT		



## **Solar Cycle Modulation of Trapped Proton Flux in Low Earth Orbit**

space radiation analysis group

#### **UoSAT-3 Daily Accumulated CREDO Channel 1 Counts in SAA Region**





# <u>Solar Cycle Modulation of Trapped Proton</u> <u>Flux in Low Earth Orbit</u>

space radiation analysis group

- Solar Cycle Modulation of Low-Altitude Trapped Proton Flux— Characterization from UoSAT-3 Data
  - < 98.7° inclination / 800 km altitude
  - < CREDO channel 1 (low-LET particles)
  - < Count rate from SAA trapped protons
    - corrected for GCR
    - J(channel 1) =  $9477 937\cos(t) 979\sin(t)$ 
      - $(DF adj r^2 = 0. 0.7698)$
      - t = date (year)

J = counts/day

- < Minimum flux (solar maximum): Oct 1991
- < Maximum flux (solar minimum): Jun 1997
- < Solar cycle modulation (ratio of solar maximum to minimum flux): 1.33
- < Solar cycle phase lag
  - smoothed monthly international sunspot index (RI)
  - Solar cycle 22 activity maximum: Jul 1989  $\Rightarrow$  + 2.3 y to SAA flux minimum
  - Solar cycle 23 activity minimum: Oct 1996  $\Rightarrow$  + 0.67 y to SAA flux maximum



#### **AP-8/JSC Model Comparison**





80% Average 18 missions RMS **70% 60% Exposure Projection Error 50%** 23 missions 35 missions 23 missions 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% -Solar Minimum Solar Maximum Solar Minimum Solar Maximum 1981-1986 1987-1991 1992-1996 1997-2001

#### **Crew Exposure Projection Accuracy**

Time



# **Radiation Measurements During Manned Missions—What Have We Learned?**

- The location of the South Atlantic Anomaly is drifting in the geocentric coordinate system
  - < approximately 0.33°/y westward drift
  - < evidence for a  $0.07^{\circ}$ /y northward drift component
- Observation of the formation and decay of a pseudo-stable additional radiation belt following the March 1991 solar particle event and geomagnetic storm
  - < estimated decay e-folding time of approximately 5 months
- Observation of a local geomagnetic east-west trapped proton exposure anisotropy
  - < altitude-dependent east-west flux ratio
  - < estimated to be in the range of 1.6-3.3
- Trapped proton exposure in low-Earth orbit can be reasonably modeled as a power-law function of atmospheric density in the SAA region
  - < best correlations obtained when the exospheric temperature dependence saturates at 938-975°K
- Actual modulation of trapped proton exposure in LEO is less than predicted by the AP8 model.



Many more individuals than can be listed here have contributed over the past 2 decades to the success of radiation measurements aboard U.S. manned space missions. Among the more deserving of recognition include

- Omar Baltaji
- Lorraine Benevides
- Mark Bowman
- Dr. Les Braby
- Terry Byers
- Bernard Cash
- Dr. Tom Conroy
- Alan Dickey
- Robert Dunn
- Joel Flanders

- Frank Gibbons
- Alva Hardy
- Ken Hardy
- Dr. William Quam,
- Dr. Vladislav Petrov (IBMP),
- Robert Richmond
- Fadi Riman.



- 1. Badhwar, G.D. and D.E. Robbins. "Decay Rate of the Second Radiation Belt." *Adv. Space Res.*, **17**(2), (1996) pp. (2)151-58.
- 2. Badhwar, G.D., M.J. Golightly, A. Konradi, *et. al.* "In-Flight Radiation Measurements on STS-60." Rad. Meas., **26**(1), (1996) pp. 17-34.
- 3. Badhwar, G.D. "Drift Rate of the South Atlantic Anomaly." *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**(A2), (01 Feb 1997) pp. 2343-49.
- 4. Badhwar, G.D., W. Atwell, B. Cash *et. al.* "Intercomparison of Radiation Measurements on STS-63." *Rad. Meas.*, **26**(6), 1997 pp. 901-16.
- 5. Badhwar, G.D., V. Dudkin, T. Doke *et. al.* "Radiation Measurements on the Flight of IML-2." Adv. Space Res., **22**(4), (1998) pp. 485-94.
- 6. Badhwar, G.D. "Radiation Measurements in Low Earth Orbit: U.S. and Russian Results." *Health Phys.*, **79**(5), (Nov. 2000) pp. 507-14.
- Buhler, P., A. Zehnder, L. Desorgher, W. Hajdas. "Simple Instruments for Continuous Measurements of Trapped Particles." Eds. W. Burke and T.-D. Guyenne: <u>Environment Modeling for Space-Based Applications Symposium Proceedings</u> (ESA SP-392), (Dec 1996) pp. 87-92.
- 8. Doke, T., T. Hayashi, S. Nagaoka *et. al.* "Estimation of Dose Equivalent in STS-47 by a Combination of TLDs and CR-39." *Rad. Meas.*, **24**(1), (1995) pp. 75-82.
- 9. Dyer, C. "Space Radiation Environment Dosimetry." <u>DERA/CIS/CIS2/TR980481</u>, (14 Oct 1998) p. 36.
- Golightly, M.J., A.C. Hardy and K. Hardy. "Results of Time-Resolved Radiation Exposure Measurements Made During U.S. Shuttle Missions with a Tissue Equivalent Proportional Counter." *Adv. Space Res.*, **14**(10), (1994a) pp. (10)923-26.



- 11. Golightly, M.J., K. Hardy and W. Quam. "Radiation Dosimetry Measurements During U.S. Space Shuttle Missions with the RME-III." *Rad. Meas.*, **23**(1), (1994b) pp.25-42.
- Golightly, M.J., G.D. Badhwar, M.J. Dunlap and S.H. Patel. "Solar-Cycle Modulation of the Trapped Proton Radiation Exposure Inside the Space Shuttle." In: K.S. Balasubramaniam, S.L. Keil and R.N. Smartt, eds. *Solar Drivers of Interplanetary and Terrestrial Disturbances*, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, **95**, (San Francisco, CA: Astronomical Society of the Pacific © 1996), pp. 505-17.
- Sakaguchi, T., T. Doke, N. Hasebe, *et. al.* "Measurement of the Directional Distribution of Incident Particles in the Shuttle-Mir Mission Orbit." *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**(A10), (01 Oct 1999) pp. 22,793-99.
- Underwood, C.I., M.K. Oldfield, C.S. Dyer, and A.J. Sims. "Long-Term Trends in the LEO Radiation Environment as Measured by Radiation Monitors On-Board Three UoSAT Micro-Satellites." In: Eds. A. Hilgers and T.-D. Guyenne. <u>Environment</u> <u>Modeling for Space-Based Applications, ESTEC, Noordwijk, NL, 18-20 September</u> <u>1996. ESA SP-392</u> (Noordwijk, The Netherlands: ESA Publications Division © 1996), pp. 37-44.