# Operational use of Timepix-based Radiation Environment Monitors on ISS

Tom Campbell-Ricketts,

Leidos Inc, NASA Space Radiation Analysis Group



25th WRMISS, Mons, Belgium, September 2022



## **Radiation Environment Monitors**

#### Team:

Nic Stoffle, Stuart George, Andrew Castro, Tom Campbell-Ricketts, Daniel Turecek (Prague)

Technology demo from 2012 to 2019

7 units operational from February 10<sup>th</sup> 2019



- Timepix, hybrid detector
- Minipix readout
- 500 µm Si sensor
- 256 x 256 pixels
- pixel pitch = 55  $\mu$ m
- time-over-threshold energy resolution (5 keV threshold)
- frame length from ms to ∞
- adaptive shutter algorithm
- connected to SSCs

# Overview

#### (1) Routine data products

#### (2) Special topics

- (2.1) SAA dose rate variability
- (2.2) Single-layer telescope



Figure 1: REM2 Daily absorbed dose rates in water for last 60 days. SAA : McIlwain L < 3, B < 23000 nT, Polar : L > 3, GCR : not SAA (includes Polar).



Figure 2: REM2 LET Spectra in silicon over last week (flux \* LET to preserve visual area with log(x) scale).

#### **Detector Health Metrics**

Detector	Location	Livetime $\%$	Dead Px	Delta	Bright Px	Delta	Noisy Frame	High Px
F08-W0246	CUPOLA	95.9	1	0	0	0	54.1	0.1
L05-W0246	NOD1P4O3	77.4	16	0	0	0	0.5	0.0
D08-W0246	NOD30A2	98.9	0	0	0	0	78.7	0.0
E04-W0281	LAB1PD3	55.4	2	0	0	0	18.0	0.0
E04-W0246	JPM1FD4	98.4	38	1	1	0	66.7	0.4
I06-W0246	LAB1O5A2	99.1	4	0	0	0	48.9	0.1
G05-W0246	COL1A3	99.6	2	0	0	0	10.9	0.3

Table 2: Table of detector health metrics. Livetime is the fraction of time the detector was on for the week. Bright pixels are pixels that count consistently high, dead pixels count consistently low or are masked. Bright and dead pixels show the highest daily number for the week. Delta shows the change in this quantity from the last week. Noisy frames are average per day. High px gives the daily average of pixel counts over 4 MeV for the week.

- Detectors experience downtime Daily Dose (μGy/day)<sub>H₂O</sub> due to issues with the laptops 700 Doesn't mean that the dose was zero during that period! 600 • We still have a duty to provide
- best possible estimate of the radiation period exposure during downtime.
- Use a backfilling procedure to estimate what the most likely dose was during downtime
- Estimate based on recent data taken at similar lat/lon/altitude coordinates



#### BackFilled Inclusive

### **Reduced Data Format**

- Daily data files (pixel cluster data) reduced down to a set of per-minute dose rates and LET flux spectra
- Allows fast access to the data products that matter most
- Eliminates need for expertise in Timepix & the REM data acquisition protocols
  - principal data set given to people outside REM analysis group in SRAG
- rdf files generated daily and archived all the way back to the detectors coming online in 2019
- Flux rates are corrected for angle of incidence
  - for each incident particle, count is scaled by 1/projected area, obtained from measured azimuth and polar angle

#### **Reduced Data Format**



Detector dose rates & integrated fluxes in SAA show the same ordering following angular flux correction.

Without this correction, detector ranking by dose rate is completely different to ranking by particle flux.

#### SAA variability

- Large differences between detectors when we look at dose & fluxes in SAA
  - Different detector orientation?
  - Different shielding environment?



### SAA variability

- Large differences between detectors when we look at dose & fluxes in SAA
  - Different detector orientation?
  - Different shielding environment?
- East-West asymmetry for trapped radiation is known, and results from gyration of particles around field lines
  - Looking North, protons gyrate anticlockwise, meaning that protons arriving from the West are in higher orbits than protons from the East
  - Lower orbits pass through thicker atmosphere, though, therefore fewer particles

















Image analysis gives track length, which coupled with deposited energy gives dE/dx







#### Single-layer telescope (2) $\Delta E$ vs $\Delta E$ plots

'Light ion isotope identification in space using pixel detector based single layer telescope,' Kroupa *et al.*, Applied Physics Letters 113, 174101, (2018)



















Counts above diagonal added to corresponding location below diagonal for higher SNR.

Polygon marks region of interest for analysis of stopping hydrogen.

Integrate over each vertical strip in ROI to give a 1D spectrum (next slide).



D08-W0246: stopping hydrogen in GCR







#### References

- 'Kinetic energy reconstruction with a single layer particle telescope,' Kroupa *et al.*, Applied Physics Letters 112, 134103 (2018)
- 'Light ion isotope identification in space using pixel detector based single layer telescope,' Kroupa *et al.*, Applied Physics Letters 113, 174101, (2018)

# Backup slides

#### Mean Quality Factor

Region	D08-W0246	E04-W0246	F08-W0246	G05-W0246	I06-W0246	L05-W0246	E04-W0281
GCR	1.89	1.85	1.74	2.73	1.71	1.95	1.71
SAA	1.28	1.37	1.34	1.28	1.40	1.33	1.49
Polar	2.47	2.50	1.96	3.84	2.12	3.16	2.21
All	1.59	1.80	1.64	1.72	1.72	1.78	1.87

Table 1: Table of per region ICRP60 average quality factors.



Detector L05-W0246, located in Node 1





Isotopic Ratios for Stopping Hydrogen in GCR







