



ISS Radiation Instruments and the Risk Analysis Environment (RAE)

Luke Stegeman on behalf of the Space Radiation Analysis Group luke.a.stegeman@nasa.gov

> Space Radiation Analysis Group (SRAG) NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX





Key Questions

What are the human spaceflight radiation limits imposed on NASA astronauts?

□ How do we evaluate individual crewmember doses and risks?

How are SRAG's radiation measurements used to compute dose and radiation risk?





Key Questions

What are the human spaceflight radiation limits imposed on NASA astronauts?

• NASA STD-3001





- As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)
 - Minimize radiation exposure within mission specifications and within reason
- Space Permissible Exposure Limit (SPEL)
 - Career effective dose from space radiation exposure <u>shall</u> be < 600 mSv
 - Calculated using NASA Space Cancer Risk model (i.e., Q_{NASA})
 - Purpose: reduce/prevent deleterious long-term stochastic effects (cancer)

	Mission 1		Missio	on 2		Miss	sion 3			Miss	sion 4?		
n;	Sv ź	100	mSv	ا 200 ا	mSv	300	mSv	400	mSv	500	mSv	600	mS۱





- Organ-Specific Limits
 - Covered by SPEL
 - Short- (non-cancer) and long-term limits
 - RBE-weighted dose: RBE · D [mGy-Eq]

$RBE = \underline{R}elative \underline{B}iological \underline{E}ffectiveness$
Suggested RBEs

Radiation Type	Recommended RBE	Range
1 to 5 MeV neutrons	6.0	4 to 8
5 to 50 MeV neutrons	3.5	2 to 5
Heavy ions	2.5	1 to 4
> 2 MeV protons	1.5	N/A

Organ-Specific Non-Cancer Dose Limits

Organ	30-Day Limit	1-Year Limit	Career
Lens of Eye	1000 mGy-Eq	2000 mGy-Eq	4000 mGy-Eq
Skin	1500 mGy-Eq 3000 mGy-Eq		6000 mGy-Eq
Blood-Forming Organs (BFO)	250 mGy-Eq	500 mGy-Eq	N/A
Circulatory System	250 mGy-Eq	500 mGy-Eq	1000 mGy-Eq
Central Nervous System	500 mGy	1000 mGy	1500 mGy
Central Nervous System $(Z \ge 10)$	N/A	100 mGy	250 mGy





- Solar Particle Event (SPE) Design Constraint
 - Shall receive < 250 mSv effective dose when exposed to October 1989
 - Design Reference SPE
 - Sum of October 1989 SPEs









- Nuclear Technology Exposures
 <u>Shall</u> be < 20 mSv/mission-year
- Nuclear propulsion
 - May reduce transit times, reducing doses
 - Net nuclear technology radiation dose
 - $\mathcal{E}_{net} = \mathcal{E}_{nuc} \mathcal{E}_{saved}$
 - Considered when mission transit time is reduced
 - Considered alongside non-radiation risks
 - E.g., microgravity environment

Onboard Radiation Sources



Nuclear Propulsion Concept





Key Questions

✓ What are the human spaceflight radiation limits imposed on NASA astronauts?

• NASA STD-3001

□ How do we evaluate individual crewmember doses?

Risk Analysis Environment (RAE)





Risk Analysis Environment (RAE)

- Internal, web-based tool
 - Radiation risk calculations
 - Astronaut risk report generation
- Database of astronaut, mission, instrument, exposures, risk estimates, etc.









ttps://www.nasa.gov/image-article/artemis-ii-map-2/

- Mission data
 - Launch date
 - Landing date
 - Vehicle trajectory
 - Vehicle shielding
 - GCR environment



- Astronaut data
 - Demographic information
 - Date of birth
 - Name
 - Sex
 - Smoking status
 - •
 - Missions
 - Past medical radiation exposures*
 - *No longer contribute to dose limits



Artemis II Crew Portrait



https://airandspace.si.edu/stories/editorial/meet-crew-artemis-ii



- Instrument data
 - Crew Active Dosimeter (CAD) absorbed dose
 - Crewmember dose of record
 - Area monitors
 - Radiation Environment Monitors (REM)
 - Artemis HERA on Space Station (AHoSS)
 - Radiation Assessment Detector (RAD)
 - Radiation Area Monitors (RAM)*
 - Tissue-Equivalent Proportional Counter (TEPC)*
 - *defunct





SRAG ISS Detector Locations



https://www.nasa.gov/international-space-station/space-station-facts-and-figures/





ISS Instruments













- Transport
 - HZETRN2020 via...
 - NSCR Driver ISS missions
 - CIMIRAE exploration missions
 - Ultimately computes $\widehat{\Phi}_T(Z, A; E)$
 - Normalization
 - Scales modeled dose to match measured dose
 - Uses modeled dose to estimate modeled fluence







Key Questions

 What are the human spaceflight radiation limits imposed on NASA astronauts?

• NASA STD-3001

✓ How do we evaluate individual crewmember doses?

• Risk Analysis Environment (RAE)

□ How are SRAG's radiation measurements used in RAE?

• Normalization procedures to bring models in line with measurements





- Correcting modeled fluence $\widehat{\Phi}_T(Z, A; E)$ using measurements
 - Fluence model (LEO): $\widehat{\Phi}_T(Z,A;E) = c^{avg} \left(\widehat{\Phi}_T^{GCR}(Z,A;E) + c^{trap} \widehat{\Phi}_T^{trap}(Z,A;E) \right)$
 - q measured quantity; \hat{q} modeled quantity
 - c^{avg} , c^{trap} constants that relate models to measurements
- Normalization Step
 - Determine *c^{trap}* and *c^{avg}* so modeled dose and measured dose are "close"
 - Model crudely assumes $\frac{D}{\widehat{D}} = \frac{\Phi}{\widehat{\Phi}}$





- Correcting trapped proton fluence
 - Modeled dose for detector *i*: $\widehat{D}_i = \widehat{D}_i^{GCR} + c^{trap} \widehat{D}_i^{trap}$
 - Measured dose for detector $i: D_i$
 - Let $\epsilon^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (D_i \widehat{D}_i)^2 \rightarrow \text{Minimize with respect to } c^{trap}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon^{2}}{\mathrm{d}(c^{trap})} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} 2\left(D_{i} - \widehat{D}_{i}^{GCR} - c^{trap}\widehat{D}_{i}^{trap}\right)\widehat{D}_{i}^{trap} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow c^{trap} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(D_{i} - \widehat{D}_{i}^{GCR}\right)\widehat{D}_{i}^{trap}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\widehat{D}_{i}^{trap}\right)^{2}} \\ &\bullet \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\epsilon^{2}}{\mathrm{d}(c^{trap})^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 2\left(\widehat{D}_{i}^{trap}\right)^{2} > 0 \Rightarrow c^{trap} \text{ minimizes } \epsilon^{2} \end{aligned}$$



- Correcting modeled doses to match CAD doses
 - Dose differences due to CAD position relative to body
 - Modeled-to-measured CAD dose conversion factor:

• $c^{avg} = \frac{D_{CAD}}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\widehat{D}_{CAD,j}}$ for *M* considered CAD positions



= CAD Position Options



Vieira, J.W. & Andrade, Pedro & Oliveira, Alex & Lima, Vanildo & Lacerda, Isabelle & Silva, Arykerne & Santana, Ivan & Alem, Whoody & Santos, Larissa & Oliveira, Fernanda & Lima, Fernando. (2023). Development of anthropomorphic computational phantoms at the UFPE. Brazilian Journal of Radiation Sciences. 11. 01-16. 10.15392/2319-0612.2023.2243.

September 3-5, 2024





• Apply correction factors to modeled trapped proton and GCR fluence • $c^{trap} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (D_i - \widehat{D}_i^{GCR}) \widehat{D}_i^{trap}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\widehat{D}_i^{trap})^2}$ for *N* dose measurements

•
$$c^{avg} = \frac{D_{CAD}}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\widehat{D}_{CAD,j}}$$
 for *M* considered CAD positions

•
$$\widehat{\Phi}_T(Z,A;E) = c^{avg} \left(\widehat{\Phi}_T^{GCR}(Z,A;E) + c^{trap} \widehat{\Phi}_T^{trap}(Z,A;E) \right)$$





• Transfer $\widehat{\Phi}_T(Z, A; E)$ to RAE to compute risk







Radiation Risk Calculation

• Risk

- Analytica implementation of NASA Space Cancer Risk model (NSCR-2012)
- Several quantities computed from $\Phi_T(Z, A; E)$
- Monte Carlo accounts for uncertainty in model parameters

RAE Outputs

RAE Infrastructure

Quantity	Symbol	Formula	LIFETIME RISK	FUTURE RISK
Dose Equivalent for Tissue <i>T</i>	H_T	$\sum_{Z,A} \int_0^\infty Q_{NASA}(Z,A,E) L(Z,A,E) \Phi_T(Z,A;E) dE$	LIFETIME MORTALITY RISK Cancer Risk Models	FUTURE MORTALITY RISK
NASA Effective Dose	\mathcal{E}_{NASA}	$\sum_T w_T H_T$	LIFETIME NCIDENCE	FUTURE
Risk of Exposure Induced Death/Cancer (REID/REIC)	REID _T /REIC _T	$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{a_{E_{i}}}^{a_{max}} \lambda_{i,T}^{(M/I)}(a, a_{E_{i}}, H_{i,T}) S_{0}(a a_{E_{i}}) \exp\left[-\int_{a_{E_{i}}}^{a} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \sum_{T} \lambda_{i,T}^{(M)}(t, a_{E_{i}}, H_{i,T}) \right\} dt \right] da \right)$	PC/AS Cancer Permissible Mission Duration	Loss of Life Expectancy
Probability of (Cancer) Causation	РоС	$\frac{REIC_T}{REIC_T + B_T}$	Probability No. of Days of Causation Allowed in Spar	Loss ce of Life <i>Fluence an</i> <i>Doses for N</i> <i>Missions</i>



Reporting

- Generates risk reports
- Sent to active astronauts yearly
- Contains
 - Career effective dose (by mission)
 - REID (Lifetime)
 - REIC (Lifetime)
 - Permissible mission duration
 - Medical exposures

ASTRONAUT ANNUAL RADIATION REPORT

Conference, WRMISS

Mission Exposure History and Cancer Risk Summary







Solar Cycle Projection Figure source credit: Space Weather Prediction Center, NOAA, https://www.swpc.noaa.gov/products/solar-cycle-progression; Royal Observatory of Belgium Solar Influences Data Analysis Center, https://www.sidc.be/SILSO/datafiles



Report Date: Wednesday, August 21, 2024

Lifetime Risk

Total Radiation Exposure Affecting Flight Eligibility	CPD (mGy)	Effective Dose (mSv)	REID (%) Mean	REID (%) 97.5th Percentile
WRMISS Example Mission (projection) Crew- worn dosimeter	50.00	78.95	0.32	0.93
Mission Total		78.95	0.32	0.93
SPEL (Career Cancer Limit)		600		
Effective Dose remaining until SPEL reached		521.05		

Mission Year	ISS PMD (days)
2024	1048
2025	1047



Edward J. Semones, RHO Space Radiation Analysis Group

Notes:

• The SPEL is 600 mSv mean effective dose.

- The Permissible Mission Duration (PMD) to remain within career limit is calculated by subtracting all mission exposures from the NASA SPEL of 600 mSv mean effective dose.
- O The reported PMD values for specific missions and mission dates are updated annually. They rely upon projections of future missions with variables including dynamic and uncertain space radiation environments, vehicle trajectory, and shielding.
- Risk of Exposure Induced Death (REID) from cancer is a statistical quantity. A distribution incorporating the
 current state of knowledge is created to estimate the REID. The bands in the above chart represent a twosided 95% uncertainty interval surrounding the mean. The upper bound is the 97.5th percentile.

Page 1





Summary

- ✓ What are the human spaceflight radiation limits imposed on NASA astronauts?
 - NASA STD-3001
- ✓ How do we evaluate individual crewmember doses?
 - Risk Analysis Environment (RAE)
- ✓ How are SRAG's radiation measurements used in RAE?
 - Normalization procedures to bring models in line with measurements





Credits

- SRAG/Risk:
 - Mark Shavers, Luke Stegeman
- Oak Ridge Center for Risk Analysis, Inc.:
 - Iulian Apostoaei, Brian Thomas
- SRAG/Vehicle Shielding Analysis:
 - Serkan Golge, Shaowen Hu, Diego Laramore, Hatem Nounu
- SRAG/Instruments:
 - Tom Campbell-Ricketts, Michael Ecord, Ramona Gaza, Stuart George, Steve Johnson, Diego Laramore, Sergiy Rozhdestvenskyy, Cary Zeitlin
- SRAG/Operations:
 - Clayton Allison, Janet Barzilla, Ricky Egeland, Stuart George, Steve Johnson, Luke Stegeman, Philip Quinn
- SRAG/Space Weather Forecasting:
 - Clayton Allison, Janet Barzilla, Ricky Egeland, Steve Johnson, Tilaye Tadesse, Philip Quinn, Katie Whitman
- SRAG/Non-Ionizing Radiation:
 - Ramona Gaza, Sabrina Houston
- SRAG/IT:
 - Mark Langford
- SRAG/Project Support:
 - Sylvia Paden
- SRAG/Management:
 - Clif Amberboy, Dan Fry, Catherine McLeod, Eddie Semones





Backup

WRMISS 2024 – Boulder, CO

September 3-5, 2024





Other Agency Career Limits

Agency	Career Dose Limit
NASA	600 mSv (mean NASA effective dose)
Canadian Space Agency	1000 mSv (mean NASA effective dose)
European Space Agency	1000 mSv (ICRP60)
Japan Aerospace eXploration Agency	500-1000 mSv (age, sex-dependent, ICRP60)
Roscosmos	1000 mSv (ICRP60)





TREAT Astronauts Act

- Became law in 2017
- Healthcare for former NASA astronauts
 - NASA pays for...
 - Monitoring
 - Diagnosis
 - Treatment
 - Spaceflight associated conditions only
- Was condition space radiation induced?
 - Probability of causation calculation needed



https://www.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/treat-astronautics-act-1.pdf?emrc=ba3f6c



ISS Instruments & Operational Requirements



- Internal Environment Monitoring RAD, AHoSS, REM2
- External Environment Monitoring Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer
- Personal Dosimetry CAD (IVA), Pille (EVA)
- Neutron Monitoring RAD, HRD
- Alarming RAD
- Continuous Data Stream RAD, AHoSS





ISS Medical Operations Requirements

7.5.1.1	The ISS Program shall prevent unacceptable deterministic effects to critical tissues by ensuring crew exposures do not exceed the dose values given in Table D-8.	RAE
7.5.1.2	The ISS Program shall manage crewmembers' ionizing radiation exposures following the principles of "As Low As Reasonably Achievable" (ALARA).	RAE
7.5.2.1	Each crewmember shall wear a personal radiation dosimeter at all times during a mission, including during IVA and EVA.	CAD & Pille
7.5.3.2.1	Instrumentation shall monitor the environment in habitable volumes of the ISS and provide information for estimating organ doses.	REM2, AHoSS, RAD
7.5.10.2	Preflight, crew radiation exposure histories shall be reviewed and the current mission exposures and risks shall be predicted based on planned mission activities. A minimum buffer dose of 0.1 Sv shall be included in the projected dose calculations for assignment of a crewmember to an ISS flight.	RAE (0.1 Sv not a thing anymore)
7.5.3.2.1.1	Instrumentation shall monitor the time-resolved LET spectrum, or as a surrogate, the lineal energy (y) spectrum.	REM2, AHoSS
7.5.3.2.1.2	Instrumentation shall monitor the time-resolved energy- and direction-dependent distribution of charge-identified particles inside ISS.	REM2, AHoSS, RAD
7.5.3.2.1.3	Radiation monitoring instruments shall provide the capability to characterize the neutron contribution to crew exposures.	RAD, HRD
7.5.3.2.2	External active radiation area monitoring shall monitor the time-resolved direction-and energy-dependent charged-particle spectra immediately exterior to the vehicle.	AMS
7.5.5.2	Time-resolved measurements of the energy- and direction-dependent distribution of charge-identified particles shall be made in various habitable module. Instrumentation shall be capable of surveying the majority of various modules.	REM2, AHoSS
7.5.5.3	Mobile instruments for internal charged-particle surveys shall be relocated periodically to various ISS habitable volumes.	REM2, AHoSS
7.5.6.1	Detailed data from time-resolved energy- and direction-dependent charged-particle detector shall be down-linked weekly or more frequently for analysis on a time scale that precludes loss of data or to support contingency evaluation for real-time flight support.	REM2, AHoSS, RAD
7.5.6.2	Dose rate from charged-particle monitoring equipment shall be continuously transferred to the ground for operational evaluation and real-time flight support.	AHoSS, RAD
7.5.7	At least one onboard active instrument shall have the ability to alert the crew when exposure rates exceed a set threshold.	RAD





Nomenclature

Quantity	Symbol	Quantity	Symbol
Linear Energy Transfer (LET)	L		
NASA Quality Factor	Q _{NASA}		
Tissue Weighting Factor	W _T		
Differential Fluence Distribution	$\Phi_T(Z,A;E)$		
Attained Age	a		
Assumed maximum lifetime	a _{max}		
Age at exposure <i>i</i>	a_{E_i}		
Excess Cancer Mortality/Incidence Rate for exposure i and tissue T	$\lambda_{i,T}^{(M/I)}(a, a_{E_i}, H_{T,i})$		
Baseline Survival Probability at age a given that the subject is alive at age a_{E_i}	$S_0(a a_{E_i})$		
Number of missions	Ν		
Excess Absolute Risk	EAR_T		
Baseline Risk	B_T		